

UNCLASSIFIED



18 February 1948

This document contains 21 pages.

RANGES IN AIR AND MASS IDENTIFICATION

OF PLUTONIUM FISSION FRAGMENTS

Work Done By :

Report Written By:

S. Katcoff J. A. Miskel C. W. Stanley

Seymour Katcoff

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Abstract

Determinations were made of the mean and extrapolated ranges in air of plutonium fission fragments for twenty individual masses between 83 and 157. Collimated fission fragments passing through air at 120 or 140 mm pressure were deposited, after being stopped by the air, on a series of fourteen thin lacquer films. These were analyzed radiochemically for individual fission products. The corrected activities were plotted against distance traversed by the fragments, yielding differential range curves whose widths at. half maximum were 11.7±1.3 percent, independent of fragment mass. The activities found beyond each distance were plotted against distance giving integral range curves. Mean and extrapolated ranges were derived from these. In the light group the extrapolated ranges decrease from 2.90 cm (15°C and 760 mm) for mass 83, to 2.25 cm for mass 117: in the heavy group they decrease from 2.25 om for mass 127, to 1.95 om for mass 157. From the range-mass curve drawn for wellknown masses, definite assignments of 92, 93, and 132 were given to 3.5h Y. 10h Y. and 77h Te. respectively. Highly probable assignments of 94 and 134 were given to 20 m Y and 54m I, respectively.

UNCLASSIFIED

Ranges in Air and Mass Identification of Plutonium Fission Fragments

Introduction

Since the discovery of the process of nuclear fission, several measurements have been made of the range of the fission recoil fragments. Corson and Thornton¹ observed in a cloud chamber that the maximum range was about 3 cm standard air. Booth, Dunning, and Slack² used a shallow ionization chamber to measure the number of fission fragments as a function of air pressure in the system. Two groups appeared with maximum ranges of 1.5 cm and 2.2 cm. E. MoMillan³ irradiated a plate of U_{30} covered with a series of aluminum foils. By plotting the gross recoil radioactivity in each foil against thickness of aluminum, a maximum range of 2.2 cm air equivalent was obtained.

Segre and Wiegand⁴⁴, using two methods, measured the relative stopping power for fission fragments of collodion, aluminum, copper, silver, and gold. In one method, the gross radioscivity that penetrated various thicknesses of foil was collected on a celluloid plate and counted. The maximum range in aluminum was found to be 3.7 mg/cm² or about 2.5 cm normal air equivalent. In the other method, fission pulses which penetrated various thicknesses of foil were counted in a shallow ionization chamber.

¹ D.R. Corson and R.L. Thornton; Phys. Rev. <u>55</u>, 509 (1939).

² B.T. Booth, J.R. Dunning, and F. Slack; Phys. Rev. <u>55</u>, 982 (1939).

³ B. MoMillan; Phys. Rev. 55, 510 (1939).

4 E. Segre and C. Wiegand; Phys. Rev. 70, 808 (1946).

UNCLASSIFIED

It was found that the relative stopping powers for fission fragments of the above materials are roughly the same as for 4.6 Mev alpha particles.

Boggild, Brostrom, and Lauritsen⁵, and Boggild, Arroe, and Sigurgeirsson⁶ have made extensive cloud-chamber studies of the stopping of fission fragments from uranium in xenon, argon, helium, hydrogen, and deuterium. The heavy fragment tracks usually show some curvature caused by many collisions with gas atoms that are not close enough to give observable recoil tracks. Numerous collisions, however, do give rise to definite branches and a tuft of several short branches frequently appears at the end of the range of a fission fragment. By a study of the distribution of branches along the tracks and also by direct measurements of the angles and lengths of individual large branches, velocity-range curves were constructed for the light and heavy groups of fission fragments. These curves show that first the fragments are slowed down mostly by electronic interactions but that during the last portion of the path most of the stopping results from nuclear collisions. The greatest variation in range reduced to normal air was from a mean total range for both fragments of 5.2 cm in helium to 3.9 cm in hydrogen.

Experiments to determine the range of fission fragments of a single mass were performed by Freedman, Metcalf, and Sugarman⁷. Their apparatus consisted of a small ground quartz joint containing a thin enriched uranium

- J.K. Boggild, K.J. Brestrom, and T. Lauritsen; Kgl, Danske Vid. Sels. Math-fys. Medd. (Math-phys. Comm., Aced. Sci. Copenhagen) 18, 4 (1940).
 J.K. Boggild, O.H. Arroe, and T. Sigurgeirsson; Phys. Rev. 71, 281, (1947).
 N.S. Freedman, R.P. Metcalf, and N. Sugarman; Manhattan Project Report
 - CC-1559, April 8, 1944; Plutonium Project Record IXB, 6.6.1 (1946).

source at one end, and a cellophane disk at the other end for collecting the fission fragments. An aluminum foil, 1.4 mg/cm² thick, was placed just in front of the cellophane to prevent diffusion of stopped fission fragments to the cellophane. The chamber was given a series of irradiations for various pressures of air. After each bombardment the cellophane collecting disk was analyzed radiochemically for 85m barium¹³⁹ and 9.7h strontium⁹¹. Then by plotting activity against air pressure, absorption curves were obtained for fission recoils of the given mass numbers. The maximum ranges were 2.53 cm and 2.05 cm of normal air for masses 91 and 139, respectively. Since the absorption curves that were obtained were not horizontal, as expected, over the first portion of the range, the experiments were repeated by Finkle, Hoagland, Katcoff, and Sugarman⁸. A very thin zapon film $(-15 \,\mu\text{g/cm}^2)$ was substituted for the aluminum foil and measurements were made for plutonium as well as for uranium235. This time the absorption curves were nearly horizontal over the initial portion and resembled corresponding curves for alpha particles. The maximum ranges were 2.58 cm and 1.95 cm normal air for masses 91 and 139, respectively, from uranium²³⁵. 2.69 cm ' and 1.9% cm, respectively, for these masses from plutonium.

In continuing a series of experiments initiated by F. Joliot⁹, Suzor¹⁰ irradiated with thermal neutrons a stack of aluminum foils placed over a thin layer of uranium oxide. Half of the fission recoils of a given range leaving any point in the source would thus be deposited in the aluminum on a hemisphere whose radius is equal to the range. It follows from geometrical considerations that the activity of a fission product deposited in any foil is proportional to the thickness of that foil up to a distance from the uranium

B.J. Finkle, E. Hoagland, S. Katcoff, and N. Sugarman; Manhattan Project Report CK-1806, June 30, 1944; Plutonium Project Record IXB, 6.6.2 (1946).

⁹ F. Joliot; Compt. Rend. 218, 488 (1944).

¹⁰F. Suzor; Compt. Rend. <u>224</u>, 1155 (1947); J. Phys. Radium <u>8</u>, 39 (1947).

equal to the range. Three isotopes were separated radiochemically from each of the foils and the activity per unit thickness plotted against distance from the uranium. The curves were horizontal over the initial portion and then dropped gradually to zero. The average ranges for 17h, zirconium⁹⁷, 67h molybdenum⁹⁹, and 77h tellurium¹³² were found to be 3.78, 3.78, and 3.11 mg/cm², respectively. The corresponding maximum ranges were 4.50, 4.40, and 3.95 mg/cm².

A similar series of experiments was performed by Finklé, Hoagland, Katcoff, and Sugarman¹¹. In this case eight fission products from uranium were investigated and the total activity of each foil was plotted against the thickness of aluminum. Straight lines were obtained over most of the range, and these were extrapolated to zero activity to give values of 3.74, 3.64, 3.57, 3.34, 3.16, 2.75, 2.69, and 2.54 mg/cm² for masses 89, 95, 103, 129, 131, 140, 141, and 144, respectively. These results are not accurate because it was discovered later that the method used to determine the thickness of the aluminum foils was unreliable.

N. Sugarman¹² measured the approximate ranges of the delayed neutron emitting isotopes from uranium fission by means of a slightly modified aluminum foil technique. From fission yield considerations and from a comparison of the ranges of the 4.51 second and 1.52 second isotopes with the ranges of the better known 55.6 second and 22.0 second isotopes, the former were assigned possible mass numbers of 87 to 90 and 129 to 135, respectively.

During the investigation reported here the ranges in air of plutonium fission fragments were measured for twenty mass numbers between 83 and 157. Both differential and integral range curves (Figs. 2 and 3) were obtained directly from the data for each mass. Then from a range vs. mass curve (Fig. 4) it was possible to make definite assignments of mass to three decay chains

 B.J. Finkle, E. Hoagland, S. Katcoff, and N. Sugarman; Manhattan Project Report CC-2075, August 25, 1944; Plutonium Project Record IXB, 6.6.3 (1946).
 N. Sugarman; J. Chem. Phys. <u>15</u>, 544, (1947).

whose assignments were doubtful previously, and to give strong support for mass assignments to two other chains. Some conclusions were made as to the dependence of range on the mass and energy of the fragments, and data were obtained on the distribution in range of fragments of uniform mass.

Experimental Method and Results

The apparatus consisted of a beryllium tube about eight inches long and one inch in diameter. At one end was a thin foil of plutonium (~0.1 mg/cm²) perpendicular to the axis of the tube (Fig. 1). Starting at about four inshes from this foil there were mounted on beryllium spacer rings at intervals of one-eighth inch a series of about fourteen sapon lacquer films (8 µg/om² thick). The spacer rings were measured accurately with micrometer calipers. The apparatus was usually filled with dry air to a pressure of 120 or 140 mm mercury at a known temperature. It was then irradiated with neutrons in the Los Alamos homogeneous pile for times varying from 30 minutes to 14 hours. The sapon films were so situated that nearly all fission fragments in the mass range being studied (which did not strike the walls of the tube) were stopped in the region of the films. Practically all of the stopping was by the air, the sapon contributing at most only about five percent. It was assumed as a first approximation that the stopped fission fragments would deposit on the nearest zapon film, i.e., fragments stopped within 1/16 inch on either side of a film belong to that film.

After irradiation, each film, except the first and last, was dissolved in acetone or concentrated HNO₂ and analyzed radiochemically for a few fission products. The procedures were modified from those reported in Chap. 8, Vol. INE, of the Plutonium Project Record. Some modification was usually needed because two or more elements were isolated from all of each solution. Ordinarily a solution is divided into aliquots, one for each of the elements analyzed for. In this work the activities were too low for such a procedure. Radiochemiaal exchange among the various chemical states of each element was always effected

before any precipitations were made. In the case of the yttrium analyses no chemical separations from the rare earths were necessary because of the great difference in fragment range. Element 61 was not isolated from any of the other rare earths except cerium and samarium. Lanthanum^{14,0} activity $(T_{1/2} = 40h)$ was kept down to about two percent of the 47h 61^{149} activity by removing the 12.8d barium^{14,0} parent immediately after 2-3 hour irradiations. The 47h samarium¹⁵³ was partially extracted from the element 61 by means of sodium amalgam. The samarium¹⁵³ fission yield is only 1/3 of the 61^{149} yield; furthermore, a small 47h samarium¹⁵³ contamination would hardly affect the range of mass 149 because the range of the former is shorter than that of the latter. The effect of all other contaminating rare earth isotopes was removed by a resolution of the decay curves.

The activity of each sample was followed with a G-M tube for one or two half-lives. In some cases it was necessary to analyze the decay curves into two components. The times of bombardment and decay were chosen so as to emphasize the component under investigation. The activity at a specified time of a given isotope from each film was corrected to 100 percent chemical yield and to a single solid angle, and then plotted against distance of air traversed by the fragments. Figure 2 shows five representative differential range curves--these are really smoothed-out histograms. The vertical scale for each of the curves is entirely arbitrary. The distance, plotted as abscissae, was corrected to air at 15° C and 760 mm mercury pressure (normal temperature and pressure). The sapon thickness was taken into account by relating its stopping power for fission fragments to that of aluminum¹³ and then relating the stopping power of aluminum for alpha particles to that of air¹⁴. One-half the thickness of the plutonium foil was also included in the distance, taking one mg/cm² of the foil equivalent to 0.36 mg/om² of air¹³. By plotting the total activity of a given isotope

B. Segre and C. Wiegand, Phys. Rev. 70, 808 (1946). Zapon was taken to be the same as collodion since the Etomic compositions are nearly identical.
 M. S. Livingston and H. A. Bethe, Rev. Mod. Phys. 9, 272, (1937).

stopped beyond each film against the distance, integral range curves were obtained. Figure 3 shows five such curves corresponding to the differential ourves of Fig. 2; again the vertical scale for each mass is entirely arbitrary. The dashed horizontal portions were drawn in by extrapolation. The steepest slopes of all the curves were extended to zero activity to give <u>extrapolated</u> ranges.

All of the data were obtained from 1/2 overlapping runs. The results are given in Table I where the values are segregated by lines into the various experiments. In each run at least one range that had been measured in a previous run was redetermined because relative values of the range as a function of mass number could be obtained accurately only in this way. All range values were normalized in as direct a manner as possible to the average value (2.504 cm) of the extrapolated range of mass 109. Then after a calculation which reduced to zero the algebraic sum of the weighted percent deviations between the averages of the directly observed ranges and the corresponding averages of the normalized ranges, the results were re-normalized to a value of 2.508 cm for mass 109. These numbers are listed in the third column of Table II and are plotted in Fig. 4. The normalized mean ranges were calculated from the data in a similar way and are listed in the fourth column of Table II, but they could not be determined as precisely as the extrapolated ranges. The last column of Table II gives the average values of the width at half maximum of the differential range curves for the various mass numbers. The indicated limits of error are merely the standard deviations from the mean of the individual determinations. Parentheses indicate that only two individual measurements were made. The widths are all the same within experimental error and the over-all average is 11.7 percent, with a standard deviation of 1.3.

Radiochemical analyses were not performed directly for some of the isotopes listed in Table I. Instead, their radioactive daughters were first

٠

- 10 extracted quantitatively from the gross fission product activity, discarded, and then allowed to grow in again from the parents. This method was used for 60m Te¹³³, whose daughter is 22h 1¹³³, because a direct measurement is made very difficult by other tellurium isotopes and their decay products. A direct isolation of the iodine is also troublesome because 6.7h I135 and its 9.2h xenon daughter interfere seriously. Since the tellurium parent of 6.7h 1^{135} is very short-lived ($\leq 2m$), an initial iodine separation removes the 6.7h I permanently, but more 22h 1133 can be formed from its 60ms Te parent. This regenerated 22h I was then isolated together with the 54m $I^{(134)}$ that was formed from its 43m Te⁽¹³⁴⁾ parent. The decay curves were easily resolved into 54-minute and 22-hour components. By suitably adjusting the times of bombardment, decay, and growth, and by counting the beta rays from the 22h I through 71 mg/cm² of aluminum absorber, all other components were made negligibly small. The measurements with 21h Pd¹¹² were made without the interference of 13.44 Pd¹⁰⁹ by utilizing the 3.2h silver daughter of 21h Pd¹¹² in a manaer similar to that described for the lodine daughters. The range for mass 92 was measured once by isolating 3.5h Y⁹² directly and once by isolating that 3.5h Y which grew from its 2.7h Sr⁹² parent during an interval after the

When attempts were made to measure the range of the fission fragments of mass 139 by analyzing for 85m Ba¹³⁹, differential range curves were obtained that were considerably distorted. This is attributed to appreciable migration in the apparatus of the 11^{\pm} . Xe¹³⁹ grandparent. The differential curves obtained from 12.8d Ba¹¹⁰ were normal although its xenon ancestor has a half-life of sixteen seconds. Therefore it appears that this method of range determination does not apply to those mass numbers which contain in the early part of their decay chains a gaseous isotope with a half-life greater than 20-30 seconds. The differential range curves obtained from 2.1th Br⁸³ leoked normal although bromine may exist in volatile chemical forms. However bromine

irradiation. The two values are in close agreement, within 0.5 percent.

in such volatile states might react rapidly with the beryllium and zapon, thus preventing the undesirable migration.

By measuring the range of fission fragments of uncertain mass an assignment can be made in many cases by reference to the range-mass curve of Fig. 4. This was done for five decay chains. In three separate experiments the range of fragments that result in 77h Te was found to be greater than the range of those whose mass is known to be 133. This places an upper limit of 132 on the mass of 77h Te, its five-minute antimony parent, and its 2.4h I daughter. Masses lower than 132 are ruled out because they are assigned to other well-known isotopes. These facts combined with some secondary considerations (e.g. fission yield) establish the mass assignment at 132. The same three experiments showed that fragments resulting in 43m Te have, within experimental error, very nearly the same range as those of mass 133. For the must be greater than 133. Since mass 135 is definitely assigned to other isotopes, 134 is very probably the correct mass number. Assignment to a greater mass would require serious distortion of the range-mass ourve.

The mass numbers of 3.5h Y, 10h Y, and 20m Y have all been uncertain. The present range studies (Fig. 4 and Tables I and II) demonstrate that all three masses are in the interval 92-96 inclusive, and that the mass increases in the order just given. This immediately locates 3.5h Y at mass 92 because assignment to 94 would put 10h Y at 95 which has been previously excluded ¹⁵; assignment to 93 is impessible because 3.5h Y has been prepared by a (n,p) reaction¹⁵, and sirconium does not have a stable isotope there. The 10h Y almost certainly belonge at mass 93. An assignment to mass 94 is highly improbable because 10h Y could not be prepared from sirconium by a (n,p) reaction under conditions which did produce 16 3.5h Y and 20m Y; mereover, 20m Y would then have to

¹⁵ Nuclei Formed in Fission, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. <u>68</u>, 2411 (1946); Rev. Med. Phys. <u>18</u>, 441 (1946).
16 W. Seelmann-Eggebert; Naturwissenschaften <u>31</u>, 510 (1943). APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE be assigned to mass 96 which is also unlikely since this would require considerable distortion of the mass vs. range curve. Assignment of the 20m Y to 95 is impossible because then it could not have been produced by (n_sp) from natural zirconium which is not stable there. Thus assignment of 20m Y to a mass of 94 is very probably correct.

The foregoing discussion of mass determination assumes that there are no radical changes in slope of the mass-range curve in the regions considered. In Fig. 4 the crossed points indicate mass numbers whose assignment has been facilitated by these range measurements.

Discussion of Results

The uncertainty in the absolute values of the normalized extrapolated ranges which are listed in Table II, is about 1.5 percent. Much of this may be attributed to variations in the thickness of the sapon films. These were not measured individually; instead several large films of known area were weighed. The mean thickness value obtained in this way was applied to all films which were made in as reproducible a fashion as possible from the same batch of zapon lacquer. If any air leaked into the apparatus before or during an irradiation the measured ranges would be low. However, a leak was suspected in only one or two of the runs. Small systematic errors may have been introduced by inaccurate reduction of range in zapon and in the plutonium foil to range in air. A few duplicate runs were made with a different plutonium foil. All of the preceding errors can have only a small effect on the ratio of the ranges of different fission fragment masses that are measured in a single run.

The scattering of points in the differential curves may be produced by several factors. Although the zapon films were stretched tightly across the spacer rings when inserted into the tube, they may have buckled occasionally during the irradiation. The films have been observed to excand under

certain conditions. The radiochemical analyses were subject to the usual errors. No corrections were applied for the differences in self-absorption of the beta-rays from samples of different weight because the radiation was generally hard.

The graphical method used to obtain the extrapolated fission fragment ranges is subject to some inaccuracy; individual authors were able to arrive independently at virtually the same results. However there is an uncertainty in the absolute range values of about one percent osused by the arbitrary assumption that the stopped fragments deposit on the nearest zapon film.

Many of the above errors become more important in the measurements of the straggling. The observed spread in range of fragments of a single mass arises from three fundamental sources: (1) the variation in initial charge and kinetic energy of the fragments; (2) the statistical variation in the number of collisions, electronic and nuclear, that are encountered by the fragments; and (3) limitations introduced by the experimental arrangement. The latter were small and consisted of approximately a 1.4 percent total spread in range caused by the thickness of the plutonium source and roughly a 1.5 percent total spread caused by the difference between a perpendicular path from the source to zapon and an extreme diagonal path. These experimental factors were slightly more important for the heavy group than for the light group; therefore this may account for the slightly greater spread that seems to occur in the heavy group. None of the differential curves (Fig. 2) are Gaussian in form; all are steeper on the side distant from the source. Unfortunately, the work performed so far does not reveal whether this form of the range distribution is inherent in the fission process or in the experimental arrangement. If the plutonium fission source were thick over a small fraction of its area, or if a small portion of it were covered with foreign matter, then an originally Gaussian distribution

would be distorted to the observed shape. Small angle scattering of fission fragments from the walls of the tube might also lead to the same results.

According to a relation derived by Bohr¹⁷, the range R of a fission fragment should be approximately proportional to the square root of its energy B, and inversely proportional to the sixth root of its mass M. This assumes the charge Z proportional to M. Deutsch and Ramsey 13 have investigated the energy release of plutonium fission fragments in a double ionization chamber. By combining values for the maximum energy derived from their paper with the range values found here, calculations were made of $RM^{1/6}/E^{1/2}$ for both the light and heavy fragments for mass ratios between 1.3 and 1.8. The ionization chamber data are most reliable in this region. The value of RN1/6/E1/2 was roughly constant, in approximate agreement with Bohr's calculations; it decreased monotonically from 0.534 to 0.548 for both the light and heavy fragment as the mass ratio was varied from 1.8 to 1.3. Below a mass ratio of 1.2 there is a sharp change in slope of the range vs. mass curve for both the light and heavy fragments. This seems to indicate that the kinetic energy release in the fission of plutonium reaches a maximum at a mass ratio of about 1.2 instead of at symmetric fission as calculated by Jentschke¹⁹. The data of Deutsch and Ramsey on energy release do not extend below a mass ratio of 1.2. However Jentschke¹⁹ does give data down to a ratio of about 1.1 for uranium²³⁵ and uranium²³⁸ fission. There is also some indication from his curves that the kinetic energy decreases as symmetric fission is approached. It would be interesting to refine and extend the ion-

¹⁷ N. Bohr, Phys Rev. <u>59</u>, 270 (1941).
¹⁸ M. Deutsch and M. Ramsey, Manhattan Project Report IA-510, Jan. 31, 1946.
¹⁹ W. Jentschke, Zeit. f. Physik <u>120</u>, 165 (1943).

- 15 -

ization experiments so that this point could be settled.

Comparison of the ranges reported here with those reported in previous work is difficult. One reason is that the various experiments were not all performed in the same manner and the data are plotted in different ways. Most previous work was on the fission of uranium²³⁵ instead of plutonium²³⁹, and many of the experiments measured the ranges in aluminum instead of in air. The only directly comparable experiment was by Finkle, Hoagland, Katcoff, and Sugarman⁸. The extrapolated ranges given there for masses 91 and 139 are 2.66 cm and 1.92 cm (at normal temperature and pressure) instead of 2.74 cm and 2.09 cm as reported here. The cause of this discrepancy is probably that the earlier plutonium foil was far from uniform in thickness, as was pointed out in the original paper. There was only one previous investigation¹¹ in which the ranges of a sufficient number of fragment masses were measured to obtain a range-mass curve. This was for uranium²³⁵ fission fragments in aluminum. Only a limited region was covered but the shape of the curve was considerably different from the corresponding curve plotted in Fig. 4 for plutonium 239 fission fragments in air. This may be due to the difference between the stopping by aluminum and by air, but the older work was based on only a single experiment which was not very reliable. It should be repeated and extended to include more fragment masses and also plutonium fission.

The apparatus described here (Fig. 1) can be applied to the study of the shorter-lived fission products. Complete or partial separations of certain fission products from certain others can be effected during the bombardment due to the differences in range (see Fig. 2). This makes feasible simplified chemical procedures so that more rapid isolations could be carried out.





Fig. 1. Sectional view of fission recoil range apparatus. All parts are of Be except where otherwise indicated. The chamber is attached to a vacuum line by means of the quarts tube on the right for filling to the proper pressure. After an irrediation the tip of the left-hand quarts tube is broken so that air leaks through the capillary to bring the pressure to atmospheric without rupturing the zapon films. The spacer rings contain small notshes to permit rapid equalization of pressure.







internet Colonie - E



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

APPROVED FOR P										OR PUBLIC R									D FOR PUBL	
TADIET	EXTRAPOL	ATED F	ANGES	IN AIR (7	6cm Hg	AND 15°C) OF PL	UTONIUM	FISSIO	N FRAG	MENTS.	THE VAL			• • • • •	AND IF	LINES.	IUH ARE	TALIUIZ	
	NORMALI) A VALL	UE OF	2.508 cm	n FOR	MASS I	09. INC	VIDUAL	EXPE	RIMENIS	ARE I Sb ¹²⁷	NDICATE Sb ¹²⁹	D BY 1 Te ¹³²	Te ¹³³	Te ⁽¹³⁴⁾	the second se	Ce ¹⁴³	61 149	Eu ⁽¹⁵⁷⁾
ISOTOPE	Br 83	Sr ⁹¹	Y 92	Υ 93	Υ(94)	Zr ⁹⁷	Mo ⁹⁹	Rh ¹⁰⁵ 36.5 h	Pd ^{ю9} 13.4 h	Pd ¹¹² 21h	In ¹¹⁷ 1.95h	93h	4.2 h	77h	60m	43m	12.8 d	33h	47h	15.4 h
	2.4 h	9.7h	3.5h	IOh	20m	17h	67 h	30.311	2.512			2.256	~ <u>+</u> -	2.212				2.002	1.958	
	2.976	2.746				2.736			2.512			2.242							1.995	
	2.967					L]		0.500	2.502	2421		2.269	2.266	2.213	e			1.947	1.879	
	2.860	2.707			2.758			2.580	2.502	1	-		2.251	2.2.0					1.969	
	2.893							2.586		2.427		2.254			2194	2.182		2.011	1.940	
	2.848	2.770		2.754	2.749			2.598	2.517		2.195		2.206	2.192	2.184	2.182		2.011	1.968	
	2.815				2.692			2.589			2.232		0.170	0.170	2.190			2.017		
	2.910	2.728		2.738		2.683	2.657	2.621	2.540	2.436	2.204		2.176	2.178	2.157	2.163				
	2.921			2.702		2.648	2.623		·	2.405	2.272		· · ·		2.177	2.183		2.036		
	2.840	2.702		2.720		2.665	2.661	2.605	2.506		2.266			2.223	2.198	2.193		2.068		
	2.878			2.701		2.647	2.643				2.268			L	2.173	2.168		2.045		1004
		2.802	2.783	2.755		2.747			2.478		2.188						2.029	1.992		1.904
		2.743			 	2.689					2.214						2.078		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.950
		2.762					2.669		2.485		2.222	Υ. Υ.					2.026	1.979		1.885
		2.732					2.640				2.243						2.088			1.943
		2.702		2794	(2.815)		,		2.488		2.138			-			(1.921)	(1.881)		(1.802)
					(2.717)						(2.155)						2.083			1.954
		1		2.774					2.451					2.141			2.112	2.080		
		.*			2.684	,								2.191			2.071			
		· .		2.797	2.783				2.481					2.181				2.038		
				2.191	2.684						•.			2.205						
									2.558	1										
				2.742					2.550											
				2.688			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2.528										1	
		•		2.720					2.520										- 1	·
· .				2.698					DEAA		2000	0.067	2 2 2 2 5	2.191	2.180	2.179	2.056	2.015	1.926	1.894
AVERAGE		2.745		2.752	2.763 <i>2.687</i>	2.708 <i>2.661</i>	2.662 <i>2.635</i>	2.601 2.587	2.504	2.429	2.202 <i>2.246</i>	2.263 <i>2.248</i>	2.243	2.191	2.180	2.180	2.080	2.040	1.977	1.949
VALUES	2.895	2.738	2.717	2.697	2.001	2.001	1 2.000	2.007						. <u></u>	- A	.				

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Table II. Artrapulated and mean ranges of plutonium fission fragments in normal air, and the straggling as indicated by the widths at half-height of the differential range curves.

Mass Number	Isotope	Normalized Extrapolated Range (cm)	Normalised Mean Range (cm)	Average Width at Half Maximum (percent)
83	2.4h Br	2.895	2.65	13.4:21.5
91	9.7h Sr	2.738	2.55	11.4±0.7
92	3.5h Y	2.717	2.55	10.5 ± (0.6)
93	10h Y	2.697	2.53	10.1±0.7
(94)	20m Y	2.687	2.52	10.5±0.7
97	y 17h Zr	.2.661	2.50	10.7±1.1
99	67h Mo	2.635	2.48	10.8±0.5
105	36.5h Rh	2.587	2.112	11.4±0.6
109	13.4h Pd	2.508	2.35	10.7 ± 0.9
112	21h Pd	2.416	2.24	13.4± (0.2)
117	1.95h In	2.246	2.08	10.1 ± 1.7
127	93h Sd	2.248	2.09	11.9± (1.3)
129	4.2h Sb	2.243	2.09	12.5±0.5
132	77h Te	2.198	2.05	11.5±0.6
133	60m Te	2.180	2.04	11.8± 0.9
(134)	43m To	2.180	2.04	11.42 1.3
140	12.9d Ba	2.080	1.92	12.6± 1.3
143	33h Ce	2.010	1.89	11.92 0.6
149	47h 61	1.977	1.82	13.121.2
(157)	15.4h Bu	1.949	1.79	15.1±1.3

ſ 41

UNCLASSIFIED

111769

DATE 3-1- 4 REC. LALLA NO. ME

REC. FROM CA. Dog

EOCUMENT ROOM

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE UNCLASSIFIED