

TITLE: ONE DIMENSIONAL HYDRODYNAMICS OF ASTEROID-NEUTRON STAR COLLISIONS

AUTHOR(S): Michael J(ohn) Newman, X-2
and
Arthur N(elson) Cox, T-6

MASTER

SUBMITTED TO: PROCEEDINGS OF IAU COLLOQUIUM NO. 58,
"STELLAR HYDRODYNAMICS", LASL, 12-15 August 1980

University of California

DISCLAIMER

This document is prepared by the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, an agency of the United States Government. It contains the results of work performed by the Laboratory under contract to the United States Government. The Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for government purposes not withstanding any copyright notation that may appear hereon. This document is prepared by the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, an agency of the United States Government. It contains the results of work performed by the Laboratory under contract to the United States Government. The Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for government purposes not withstanding any copyright notation that may appear hereon.

By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

The Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.



LOS ALAMOS SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY

Post Office Box 1663 Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

An Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer



ONE DIMENSIONAL HYDRODYNAMICS OF ASTEROID-NEUTRON STAR COLLISIONS

Michael J. Newman
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory

Arthur N. Cox
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory

It has been suggested by several authors (e.g., Harwit and Salpeter, 1973) that the observed cosmic gamma-ray bursts might be produced by the collision of comet or asteroid-sized bodies with a compact object. Colgate and Petschek (1980) have discussed the tidal breakup of a solid body approaching a neutron star in central impact, with particular application to the cosmic gamma-ray burst of March 5, 1979. In this work we present the results of simplified one-dimensional hydrodynamic-radiation diffusion calculations of such an occurrence.

The source of the projectile in such a collision is somewhat problematical. Events accompanying the formation of a neutron star are believed to be quite violent. It is not clear whether any bound debris orbiting the pre-neutron star in analogy to the cometary or asteroidal matter of our own solar system would survive a supernova explosion as a member of the neutron star system, or whether the disruption of any possible planetary-size objects present could serve to populate the system with such debris. In any event it requires a very special perturbation from bound orbit to produce the kind of direct collision required.

Newman and Cox (1980) have considered the probability of collisions with cosmic debris and estimate the mean time between collisions per neutron star as

$$t \approx 2.9 \times 10^5 \text{ yr.} \frac{M_{ast}/10^{17} \text{ g}}{N/N_{\odot}} \frac{u_{\infty}/20 \text{ km s}^{-1}}{\left(\frac{R_{ns}}{10 \text{ km}}\right)^2 (u_{enc}/10^{10} \text{ cm s}^{-1})^2}$$

where a typical "asteroid" has mass M_{ast} , an amount of matter M per pc^3 is in the form of such objects, the mean velocity of approach far from the neutron star is u_{∞} , the velocity at the neutron star radius R_{ns} is u_{enc} , and the time between collisions scales in

the manner indicated with the uncertain quantities involved. E.M. Jones of LASL points out that studies of galactic dynamics indicate that the average density of all matter in the solar neighborhood is about $0.15 M_{\odot} \text{pc}^{-3}$, with about a third of that in the form of stars and the bulk of the remainder in the form of hydrogen and helium, thus severely limiting the quantity M above (to perhaps $M \approx 0.003 M_{\odot}$).

Pulsar observations and standard stellar evolution theory indicate that there may be $N_{\text{NS}} \approx 0.03$ neutron star per pc^3 in the disk of our Galaxy (Lamb et al., 1973). If we observe N events each year, we must pick up all collisions within a distance

$$d = (3Nt/4\pi N_{\text{NS}})^{1/3}$$

$$= 132 \text{ pc } N^{1/3} ((t/2.9 \times 10^5 \text{ yr}) / (N_{\text{NS}}/0.03 \text{ pc}^{-3}))^{1/3}.$$

Depending on the absolute intensity of the radiation resulting from a collision of the kind considered here (and therefore upon the distance at which it can be detected) it seems possible that most of the more intense cosmic gamma ray bursts observed each year may be due to such collisions.

Although the problem of treating an asteroid-neutron star collision in radiation-hydrodynamic detail is properly a two- or even three-dimensional one, a spherically symmetrical approach can be quite useful. The initially irregular or roughly spherical body is highly distorted as it is elongated by the strong tidal effects near the compact object, and impacts as a long narrow column. Howard et al. (1980) found in their two-dimensional calculations with no magnetic fields and a perfectly rigid neutron star surface that the hot shocked matter spreads rapidly over the neutron star surface and cools, building up an optically thick cloud which obscures the hot spot of interest and degrades the emergent radiation. Colgate and Petschek (1980) point out that in the strong magnetic fields ($\sim 10^{12}$ gauss) to be expected at the neutron star surface the hot matter will be confined to flux tubes that intersect the accretion column. Newman and Cox (1980) found that the asteroid-neutron star interface penetrates the neutron star surface to significant depths (in terms of density of the surrounding matter) before it is forced above the original position of the surface by the energy released in the collision, a behavior not allowed by the boundary condition of Howard et al. These latter effects mitigate the importance of edge effects, and suggest that the one-dimensional calculations reported here might represent well conditions in the center of the impacting column.

The neutron star was chosen to have mass $1 M_{\odot}$ and radius 10^6 km, with initial luminosity $1 L_{\odot}$ and effective temperature 1.5×10^6 K. The outer 10^{22} g of the hydrogen atmosphere (a thickness of a few meters) was represented explicitly in the calculation, occupying the inner 25 zones of our Lagrangian mesh. The outer 25 zones represented the asteroid, idealized as a shell of thickness Δr and density ρ which approaches the neutron star at velocity u . The calculation began in the instant of impact. The maximum temperature at the interface and the effective temperature at the asteroid surface proved to be insensitive to the composition of the asteroid layer, and iron asteroids were chosen as the standard. Temperatures resulting from the collision were produced by the conversion of kinetic energy to thermal energy, and thus depended sensitively on the choice of impact velocity. Velocities near the escape velocity $u = 1.6 \times 10^{10}$ cm s $^{-1}$ were required to produce temperatures characteristic of cosmic gamma ray bursts. $u = 1.0 \times 10^{10}$ cm s $^{-1}$ was adopted as the standard.

The intensity and character of the gamma-ray burst resulting from such a collision is a compromise between compressing the interface region by a sufficient overburden of infalling material to heat it to the desired extent, and restricting the overburden so that the radiation can get out without being excessively degraded by absorption and reemission in cool outer layers. Satisfactory candidates for cosmic gamma ray bursts (effective temperatures of several times 10^8 K, luminosities of a few times 10^{43} ergs s $^{-1}$ (scaled by the ratio of the area of the hot spot to the area of the neutron star surface)) were found for surface densities of asteroid material $\sigma = \rho \Delta r \approx 10$ to 100 g cm $^{-2}$. The extreme case $\rho = 10$ g cm $^{-3}$, $\Delta r = 1$ cm (corresponding to asteroid mass 1.3×10^{14} g distributed uniformly over the entire neutron star surface) yielded a peak luminosity of 1.8×10^{44} ergs s $^{-1}$, sufficient to have placed the March 5th cosmic gamma ray burst in the Large Magellanic Cloud as suggested by the direction to its position error box. (Note that even though the luminosity exceeds the Eddington limit for a brief time the resulting deceleration is not sufficient to expel the incoming matter in the time available.) Although the effective temperatures and luminosities of the events studied were sufficient to make them candidates for cosmic gamma ray burst sources, the time scales of the collisions ($\sim 10^{-8}$ s) were much shorter than those of observed bursts ($\geq 10^{-1}$ s). The distended columns of the sort found by Colgate and Petschek and Howard et al. could sustain the burst for the times required. The time scale of the main burst is determined by the tidal disruption patterns and the spread of arrival times of matter at the neutron star surface. A black body spectrum need not result from the superposition of regions of different temperature with different effective surface areas as the sustained collision evolves. An event like the March 5, 1979 gamma ray burst, with its observed double 4 sec. periodicity following the

main pulse, is understood in terms of remnant debris following the magnetic field lines to impact the magnetic polar caps for a period of minutes following the initial deposition of the bulk of the asteroidal matter, maintaining the two opposed polar hot spots which come alternately into our field of view once each half-revolution.

REFERENCES

- Colgate, S.A., and Petschek, A.G., 1980, abstract of a paper presented at the Conference on Cosmic Ray Astrophysics and Low-Energy Gamma Ray Astronomy, Minneapolis, Minnesota, September, 1980.
- Harwit, M., and Salpeter, E.E. 1973, Ap. J. (Letters), 186, L37.
- Howard, W.M., Wilson, J.R., and Barton, R.T., 1980, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory preprint.
- Lamb, D.Q., Lamb, F.K., and Pines, D., 1973, Nature Phys. Sci., 246, 52.
- Newman, M.J., and Cox, A.N., 1980, Ap. J. 242 (in press).