

LEGIBILITY NOTICE

A major purpose of the Technical Information Center is to provide the broadest dissemination possible of information contained in DOE's Research and Development Reports to business, industry, the academic community, and federal, state and local governments.

Although a small portion of this report is not reproducible, it is being made available to expedite the availability of information on the research discussed herein.

LA-UR--89-3135

DE90 001235

TITLE: MULTIPLE MARTENSITIC TRANSFORMATIONS, INCOMMENSURATE/
COMMENSURATE PHASES AND CHARGE-DENSITY-WAVE STATES IN
PLUTONIUM METAL: THEIR CONSEQUENCES

AUTHOR(S): T. A. Sandenaw
J. F. Andrew

SUBMITTED TO: Actinides-89
Tashkent, USSR
September 24-29, 1989

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U S Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U S Government purposes

The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U S Department of En

Los Alamos Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

MULTIPLE MARTENSITIC TRANSFORMATIONS, INCOMMENSURATE/COMMENSURATE PHASES
AND CHARGE-DENSITY-WAVE STATES IN PLUTONIUM METAL: THEIR CONSEQUENCES

T. A. Sandenaw and J. F. Andrew
Los Alamos National Laboratory

Simultaneous measurements of electrical resistivity, elongation and temperature have been made on a Pu metal specimen between -80K and 733K. This temperature range covers part of the α -phase range and up through a major portion of the δ -phase range.

Figure 1 shows two hysteresis loops suggestive of martensite-like transformations. The first loop which spans the $\alpha \rightleftharpoons \beta$ phase transformation is like that seen with Au-49% Cd. The second loop which spans the $\gamma \rightleftharpoons \delta$ transformation is like that seen with Fe-Ni (70:30) alloy, but inverted. These loops seen with Pu metal are thus like those of completely different transition element alloy systems.

Figure 1 shows that the β and γ phases seem to be electronically similar on warming because there is no apparent change in electrical resistivity on the warming cycle. Likewise the resistivity at the indicated $M_F(\delta \rightleftharpoons \gamma)$ transformation (cooling) becomes that of the β phase seen on warming. This seems to indicate that the β phase is the product phase of the $\gamma \rightleftharpoons \delta$ transformation.

An interpretation of Fig. 1 is that there is a thermal modification of the f-d-s electron distribution on warming from 80K to 733K and a reverse modification of the electron distribution on cooling back over the same temperature range but with a temperature delay in the crystal structure changes required.

Only one elongated hysteresis loop is seen on Fig. 2. This figure suggests that the indicated double martensitic transformation of Fig. 1 is smeared out on cooling into one continuous transformation between δ and α phases. This suggests the possibility of a direct δ to α phase transformation under the correct processing condition.

Regions I, II and III which are shown on Fig. 2 were first seen by Pascard [1]. He considered the transformations between these three regions to be martensitic. Region I appears to be the δ phase existing into a lower temperature range, while Region II can be interpreted as being a new

phase. The steps seen in Region III can be indicative of martensitic bursts.

Figure 2 also suggests that the β phase is the product phase of the $\gamma \rightleftharpoons \delta$ reverse transformation. This figure also suggests that the γ phase seen on cooling may be a composite structure (incommensurate) involving the β phase and δ' phase. This is based on the assumption that the new phase of Region II is a reappearance of the δ' phase. A composite structure involving β and δ' phases could give the (incommensurate) Fddd space group structure [2] reported for γ phase Pu.

The magnetic susceptibility behavior of Pu metal, as a function of temperature, is shown on Fig. 3 [3]. We believe the results shown on this figure can account for the hysteresis loops shown on Figs. 1 and 2.

The increase in magnetic susceptibility between the α and β phases may be due to a slight localization of d electrons (d-s hybridization) as is seen with TiNi alloy on its transformation from $P2_1/m$ (α -Pu structure) martensite. The decreasing susceptibility through the γ and δ phases must be due to a continued $(6d7s) \rightarrow 5f$ electron promotion on continued warming.

The increasing magnetic susceptibility noted on warming through the δ' phase should be due to an inverse modification of the electronic structure, i.e., a $5f \rightarrow (6d7s)$ promotion. The minimum in magnetic susceptibility must represent the minimum in $(6d7s)$ electron population in Pu metal and possibly the lowest metal density.

Figure 3 suggests why fixed-rate cooling from the ϵ -Pu phase region gives such a greatly different hysteresis loop in physical properties than is seen with fixed-rate cooling from the δ phase, as shown on Figs. 1 and 2. We suggest that the beta-phase electronic structure (warming) does not appear in either case.

We suggest that publications of Johannson (1975) [4], Baptist et al (1982) [5] and Bonnelle et al (1975) [6] give the solution outlined above. Johannson [4] assumed that the broad $(6d7s)$ band had a higher binding energy capability than a narrow $5f$ band. He gave the division of electrons between the conduction band and the $5f$ band for Pu as: $(6d7s)^{35}5f^5$. Baptist et al [5] attributed to Skriver (1981), in a private communication, that the ground state of FCC Pu (δ phase) is $5f^{5+x}(6d7s)^{3-x}$. This suggests a $(6d7s) \rightarrow 5f$ promotion on warming from α through to δ phase. Bonnelle et al

[6] noted that the atomic volume contraction from the $\delta \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow$ liquid Pu must be accompanied by an inverse modification of the electronic structure, i.e., a $5f \rightarrow 6d$ promotion.

The above described physical properties of Pu metal phases suggest the behavior of transition element alloys or intermetallics, but with 5f bands superimposed on the (6d-7s) bands and hybridized with them.

We further suggest that a time lag between thermal hybridization of (f)-d-s electrons and thermal dehybridization of (f)-d-s electrons may be responsible for martensite-like transformations in many transition element metals and their alloys or compounds. The effect may be to give incommensurate and commensurate charge-density-wave states (or phases). Such effects have been reported for TiNi(X) alloys in their martensitic transformations [7].

References

1. R. Pascard, Plutonium 1960, E. Grison, W. B. H. Lord and R. D. Fowler, eds, p. 16, Cleave-Hume Press Ltd, London (1961).
2. W. H. Zachariasen and F. H. Ellinger, Acta Crystall. 8, 431 (1955).
3. T. A. Sandenaw, The Metal Plutonium, A. S. Coffinberry and W. N. Miner, eds, p. 152, University of Chicago Press, Chicago (1961).
4. Börje Johansson, Phys. Rev. B11, 2740 (1975).
5. R. Baptist, D. Courteix, J. Chayrouse and L. Heintz, J. Phys F: Met. Phys. 12, 2103 (1982).
6. C. Bonnelle, A. Courtois, and D. Calais, Plutonium 1975 and Other Actinides, p. 343, H. Blank and R. Linder, eds. North-Holland/American Elsevier (1976).
7. C. M. Hwang and C. M. Wayman, Scripta Met. 17, 1345 (1983).




Fig 1

Fig. 2




Fig 3

