

LA-6786

C.3

UC-35

Issued: July 1977

CIC-14 REPORT COLLECTION  
**REPRODUCTION  
COPY**

# Solving the Strong-Shock Algorithm for Explosive Yield and Spatial Origin

H. C. Goldwire, Jr.

LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY  
3 9338 00396 4888



**Los Alamos**  
**scientific laboratory**  
of the University of California  
LOS ALAMOS, NEW MEXICO 87545



An Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

UNITED STATES  
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION  
CONTRACT W-7405-ENG. 36

Printed in the United States of America. Available from  
National Technical Information Service  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
5285 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, VA 22161  
Price: Printed Copy \$3.50 Microfiche \$3.00

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

# SOLVING THE STRONG-SHOCK ALGORITHM FOR EXPLOSIVE YIELD AND SPATIAL ORIGIN

by

H. C. Goldwire, Jr.

## ABSTRACT

We present a linear least squares solution to the strong-shock algorithm where underground explosive yield and spatial origin are unknown. Also presented are methods for determining standard error estimates for the determined quantities and an illustration of the solution with several sets of simulated hydrodynamic data.



## I. INTRODUCTION

The yield of an underground explosion can be determined from measurements of the propagation of the explosion-produced shock wave through the ambient geological medium. For a portion of the shock expansion, the shock radius grows as a power-law function of time. In particular, the shock position is given by

$$\frac{R(t)}{W^{1/3}} = a \left( \frac{t}{W^{1/3}} \right)^b \quad (1)$$

where time  $t$  is measured in milliseconds from explosion time, distance  $R$  is in meters from the explosion center, and yield  $W$  is in kilotons. Detailed calculations by Eilers, using the 1D  $F^s$  code with realistic equation-of-state data and tuned<sup>1</sup> to reproduce the von Neuman point-source, constant-gamma, analytical solution, showed for tuff and granite that  $a$  and  $b$  were sensibly constant and were independent of yield.<sup>2</sup> These calculations also provided insight as to the range of applicability of the strong-shock algorithm. Bass and Larsen<sup>3</sup> have performed similar calculations for other media. This

algorithm largely forms the basis of the hydrodynamic yield-determination techniques used at the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL).

Since spring 1975, we have routinely fielded experiments to determine hydrodynamic yields of LASL nuclear events. Analysis of the data was based on Eq. (1) using the Eilers constants  $a = 6.29$  and  $b = 0.475$ , and the results have usually agreed with those obtained from other techniques. We point out, however, that these experiments were conducted at the Nevada Test Site (NTS) under controlled circumstances: we knew the effective center of the explosion (ECE), i.e., the point of origin of the explosion, and could provide independently determined explosion-time fiducials.

Under less controlled circumstances, the absolute spatial and temporal accuracy of the measuring system may be less than ideal or the ECE may be unknown as, for example, in a verification situation under the Peaceful Nuclear Explosives Treaty (PNET)<sup>4</sup>. Accordingly, we have generalized Eq. (1) to

$$R(t) + R_0 = W^{(1-b)/3} (t + t_0)^b \quad (2)$$

Here,  $R(t)$  is the experimentally measured shock-front position at time  $t$ , with  $R$  and  $t$  determined relative to a presumed spatial and temporal origin of the explosion.  $R_0$  and  $t_0$  are additive corrections to  $R$  and  $t$  that correct them to the actual explosion time and location. Ideally, experimental  $R(t)$  data would be fitted to Eq. (2) to determine any or all of the quantities  $W$ ,  $R_0$ ,  $t_0$ ,  $a$ , and  $b$ . In practice,  $a$  and  $b$  are usually assumed known, and the combinations of unknowns we most commonly expect to encounter are (1)  $W$ ,  $R_0$ , (2)  $W$ ,  $t_0$ , or (3)  $W$ ,  $R_0$ ,  $t_0$ .

It is the purpose of this report to present a linear least squares solution to the yield and  $R$ -shift ( $W$ ,  $R_0$ ) problem and to illustrate its use with several examples.

## II. ANALYSIS

For this problem, we assume that  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $t_0$  are known and rewrite Eq. (2) as

$$R(t) = c x_1(t) + d x_2(t), \quad (3)$$

where

$$c = a W^{(1-b)/3}, \quad d = -R_0, \quad (4)$$

$$x_1(t) = (t + t_0)^b, \quad x_2(t) \equiv 1. \quad (5)$$

Equation (3) can be solved by linear least squares regression for the desired constants  $c$  and  $d$  and for the standard error estimates  $\sigma_c$ ,  $\sigma_d$ , and covariance  $\sigma_{cd}$ . Given the data set  $(t_i, R_i, \sigma_i; i = 1, N)$ , where  $\sigma_i$  is the statistical uncertainty to be associated with the value  $R_i$ , we define the auxiliary sums

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} & D &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} R_i x_1(t_i) \\ B &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} x_1(t_i) & E &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} R_i \\ C &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} x_1^2(t_i) & F &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} R_i^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Then the desired least square quantities and the corresponding uncertainties are

$$c = (DA - BE)/\Delta, \quad d = (CE - BD)/\Delta \quad (7)$$

and

$$\sigma_c^2 = A/\Delta, \quad \sigma_d^2 = C/\Delta, \quad \sigma_{cd} = -B/\Delta, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\Delta = (AC - B^2).$$

In terms of these quantities, our original quantities  $W$  and  $R_0$  and their formal uncertainties then are given by

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^{3/(1-b)} & \sigma_W &= W \sqrt{A/\Delta} / c \left(\frac{1-b}{3}\right) \\ R_0 &= -d & \sigma_{R_0} &= \sqrt{C/\Delta} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

If the individual standard deviations  $\sigma_i$  are unknown or if an unweighted fit is desired, the  $\sigma_i$  in Eqs. (6) should all be set equal to a constant  $\sigma_0$  (to be determined). Note that in this case  $\sigma_0$  will cancel out of Eqs. (7), allowing  $c$  and  $d$  to still be determined. For Eqs. (8), however, we can obtain an unbiased statistical estimate for  $\sigma_0$  from  $\sigma_R$ , the standard deviation of the data about the fit. In particular, we calculate  $\sigma_R$  from

$$\sigma_R = \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N [R_i + R_0 - a W^{(1-b)/3} (t_i + t_0)^b]^2}{N - 2} \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (10)$$

or with less precision from the auxiliary sums

$$\sigma_R = \left\{ \frac{c^2 C + 2cdB - 2cD + Ad^2 - 2dE + F}{N - 2} \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (11)$$

### III. TWO EXAMPLES

To illustrate this least squares method, we present in Tables I and II two sets of simulated hydrodynamic data. The labels for the quantities in these tables are explained in Table III.

#### A. Properties of the Generated Data Sets

Using Eq. (1) with a yield of 150 kt, data were generated at 100- $\mu$ s intervals over the time span 1.0-3.5 ms, the approximate range normally analyzed for such a yield. These algorithmic data were then modified by adding 5.000 m to all points (thereby simulating the effects of an origin shift or an absolute calibration error) and by adding random-noise deviations to simulate the effects of noisy data. The noise levels chosen, rms deviations of 4.1 and 5.3 cm per point, correspond to high-quality data, but such levels are achievable today. For a medium sonic velocity of 3.0 m/ms, the data are all presonic and hence usable. (The sonic time and radius would be 5.27 ms and 33.30 m, respectively.)

#### B. Results of the Least Squares Fits

Tables I and II illustrate calculation results at added noise levels of 4.1 and 5.3 cm, respectively. The least squares solutions agree very well with the "correct" answer  $WALG = 150$  kt and  $RSHIFT = -5.00$  m. Also, the formal ranges of uncertainty for the two determined quantities,  $WFIT \pm SIGW$  and  $RSHIFT \pm RSIGR0$ , do encompass the correct answer. Work is in progress on a statistical analysis of man such examples as are presented in these tables.

It should be pointed out that analyses of actual hydrodynamic data will not, in general, be so successful. Among the reasons for this are the following.

1. Less data may be obtained.
2. Noise sources may not be strictly Gaussian.

3. The algorithmic region of data may be restricted or difficult to identify.

4. The algorithm is only an approximation to actual physics of expansion.

5. Explosions may not be point sources.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

This least squares method enables one to efficiently and effectively solve Eq. (2) for  $R_0$  and  $W$ , assuming that  $t_0$ ,  $a$ , and  $b$  are known. This method was shown to work successfully for the simulated data of Tables I and II. A number of statistical quantities of interest were also calculated and are presented in the tables. To the extent that data noise sources are Gaussian and the data follow the strong-shock algorithm, this least squares method is statistically the most powerful and appropriate technique to use for solving for yield and shifts of origin.

### REFERENCES

1. D. D. Eilers, "A Numerical Integration of a 97 kt Explosion in Sea Level Air," Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory report LAMS-2985 (December 1963).
2. D. D. Eilers, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, private communication, June 1975.
3. R. C. Bass and G. E. Larsen, "Shock Propagation in Several Geologic Materials of Interest in Hydrodynamic Yield Determinations," Sandia Laboratories, Albuquerque, report SAND 77-0402 (March 1977).
4. "Treaties on the Limitation of Underground Nuclear Weapon Tests and on Underground Nuclear Explosions for Peaceful Purposes," US Arms Control and Disarmament Agency Publ. 87 (May 1976).

TABLE I

LINEAR LEAST SQUARES TEST CASE  
(noise level  $\approx$  4.1 cm per point)

PROPERTIES OF GENERATED DATA SET

NPTS= 26    WALG= 150.00    TS= 5.27    TADD= 0.000    TSTART= 1.00    NOISE SIGMA= .0411  
           VS= 3.00        RS= 33.30    RADD= 5.000    TSTOP= 3.50    NOISE MEAN= .0002

PROPERTIES OF LEAST SQUARES FIT TO DATA

CSAB= .05701

NFIT= 149.5408    RSHIFT= -5.0119    SIGR= .041890    CSR= .04179    AFIT= 6.28663  
           SIGW= 1.9313    RSIGRO= .0501    RATIO= 1.019424    FACT= .99754    SIGA= .01421

| POINT | TIME | RALG+5M | RDATA   | RFIT    | DELR   | NOISE  | WCALC    | W-WALG  |
|-------|------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|----------|---------|
| 1     | 1.00 | 20.1170 | 20.1318 | 20.1209 | .0109  | .0147  | 150.1588 | .1588   |
| 2     | 1.10 | 20.8171 | 20.8166 | 20.8206 | -.0040 | -.0005 | 149.3268 | -.6732  |
| 3     | 1.20 | 21.4846 | 21.5138 | 21.4877 | .0261  | .0292  | 150.9011 | .9011   |
| 4     | 1.30 | 22.1234 | 22.1247 | 22.1262 | -.0015 | .0013  | 149.4676 | -.5324  |
| 5     | 1.40 | 22.7369 | 22.7172 | 22.7393 | -.0221 | -.0197 | 148.4771 | -1.5229 |
| 6     | 1.50 | 23.3278 | 23.3880 | 23.3299 | .0581  | .0602  | 152.2707 | 2.2707  |
| 7     | 1.60 | 23.8983 | 23.8358 | 23.9001 | -.0644 | -.0625 | 146.6526 | -3.3474 |
| 8     | 1.70 | 24.4505 | 24.4913 | 24.4520 | .0393  | .0408  | 151.2763 | 1.2763  |
| 9     | 1.80 | 24.9858 | 24.9386 | 24.9870 | -.0484 | -.0472 | 147.4823 | -2.5177 |
| 10    | 1.90 | 25.5057 | 25.4982 | 25.5066 | -.0084 | -.0075 | 149.1890 | -.8110  |
| 11    | 2.00 | 26.0114 | 25.9997 | 26.0121 | -.0124 | -.0118 | 149.0355 | -.9645  |
| 12    | 2.10 | 26.5041 | 26.5312 | 26.5045 | .0267  | .0271  | 150.6065 | .6065   |
| 13    | 2.20 | 26.9845 | 26.9595 | 26.9847 | -.0251 | -.0250 | 148.5655 | -1.4345 |
| 14    | 2.30 | 27.4537 | 27.5221 | 27.4536 | .0685  | .0685  | 152.1698 | 2.1698  |
| 15    | 2.40 | 27.9122 | 27.8781 | 27.9119 | -.0337 | -.0341 | 148.2863 | -1.7137 |
| 16    | 2.50 | 28.3608 | 28.3405 | 28.3602 | -.0197 | -.0203 | 148.8216 | -1.1784 |
| 17    | 2.60 | 28.8001 | 28.7019 | 28.7993 | -.0974 | -.0983 | 146.0742 | -3.9258 |
| 18    | 2.70 | 29.2306 | 29.3076 | 29.2296 | .0780  | .0770  | 152.3152 | 2.3152  |
| 19    | 2.80 | 29.6528 | 29.6560 | 29.6515 | .0045  | .0032  | 149.6961 | -.3039  |
| 20    | 2.90 | 30.0672 | 30.0780 | 30.0657 | .0123  | .0108  | 149.9605 | -.0395  |
| 21    | 3.00 | 30.4741 | 30.4865 | 30.4724 | -.0141 | .0124  | 150.0156 | .0156   |
| 22    | 3.10 | 30.8740 | 30.8246 | 30.8721 | -.0475 | -.0494 | 147.9787 | -2.0213 |
| 23    | 3.20 | 31.2671 | 31.2634 | 31.2650 | -.0016 | -.0037 | 149.4903 | -.5097  |
| 24    | 3.30 | 31.6539 | 31.6850 | 31.6515 | .0335  | .0311  | 150.6170 | .6170   |
| 25    | 3.40 | 32.0345 | 32.0721 | 32.0320 | .0401  | .0375  | 150.8126 | .8126   |
| 26    | 3.50 | 32.4094 | 32.3807 | 32.4066 | -.0259 | -.0287 | 148.7343 | -1.2657 |
|       |      |         |         | MEANS   | -.0000 | .0002  | 149.5532 | -.4468  |
|       |      |         |         | SIGMA   | .0419  | .0411  | 1.6321   | 1.6321  |

AUXILIARY QUANTITIES

CC= 15.10893    SX = 3.7648762E+01  
           SC= .03415    SX2= 5.6021318E+01  
           DD= 5.01195    SRX= 1.0351156E+03  
           SD= .05013    SR = 6.9914299E+02  
           SCD= -.96227    SR2= 1.9143594E+04

TABLE II

LINEAR LEAST SQUARES TEST CASE

(noise level  $\approx$  5.3 cm per point)

PROPERTIES OF GENERATED DATA SET

NPTS= 26    WALG= 150.00    TS= 5.27    TADD= 0.000    TSTART= 1.00    NOISE SIGMA= .0534  
 VS= 3.00    RS= 33.30    RADD= 5.000    TSTOP= 3.50    NOISE MEAN= .0047

PROPERTIES OF LEAST SQUARES FIT TO DATA

WFIT= 148.9673    RSHIFT= -5.0312    SIGR= .054268    CSR= .05474    AFIT= 6.28240  
 SIGW= 2.4941    RSIGRO= .0649    RATIO= 1.017015    FACT= 1.00878    SIGA= .01841

CSAB= .36876

| POINT | TIME | RALG+SM | RDATA   | RFIT    | DEL R  | NOISE  | WCALC    | W-WALG  |
|-------|------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|----------|---------|
| 1     | 1.00 | 20.1170 | 20.1877 | 20.1300 | .0577  | .0706  | 152.2501 | 2.2501  |
| 2     | 1.10 | 20.8171 | 20.8596 | 20.8292 | -.0303 | .0424  | 150.6090 | .6090   |
| 3     | 1.20 | 21.4846 | 21.4600 | 21.4958 | -.0358 | -.0245 | 147.1249 | -2.8751 |
| 4     | 1.30 | 22.1234 | 22.1322 | 22.1339 | -.0017 | .0089  | 148.8850 | -1.1150 |
| 5     | 1.40 | 22.7369 | 22.7321 | 22.7466 | -.0145 | -.0048 | 148.2706 | -1.7294 |
| 6     | 1.50 | 23.3278 | 23.3159 | 23.3368 | -.0210 | -.0119 | 147.9951 | -2.0049 |
| 7     | 1.60 | 23.8983 | 23.8081 | 23.9067 | -.0986 | -.0903 | 144.5741 | -5.4259 |
| 8     | 1.70 | 24.4505 | 24.4871 | 24.4581 | .0289  | .0366  | 150.2394 | .2394   |
| 9     | 1.80 | 24.9858 | 24.9901 | 24.9928 | -.0027 | .0044  | 148.8535 | -1.1465 |
| 10    | 1.90 | 25.5057 | 25.5268 | 25.5121 | .0147  | .0211  | 149.5774 | -.4226  |
| 11    | 2.00 | 26.0114 | 25.9251 | 26.0172 | -.0921 | -.0863 | 145.2690 | -4.7310 |
| 12    | 2.10 | 26.5041 | 26.5447 | 26.5093 | .0354  | .0406  | 150.3754 | .3754   |
| 13    | 2.20 | 26.9845 | 27.0232 | 26.9892 | .0340  | .0386  | 150.2902 | .2902   |
| 14    | 2.30 | 27.4537 | 27.5346 | 27.4577 | -.0768 | .0809  | 151.9070 | 1.9070  |
| 15    | 2.40 | 27.9122 | 27.8967 | 27.9157 | -.0190 | -.0155 | 148.2626 | -1.7374 |
| 16    | 2.50 | 28.3608 | 28.4044 | 28.3638 | .0406  | .0435  | 150.4541 | .4541   |
| 17    | 2.60 | 28.8001 | 28.8796 | 28.8025 | .0771  | .0795  | 151.7497 | 1.7497  |
| 18    | 2.70 | 29.2306 | 29.1265 | 29.2325 | -.1060 | -.1041 | 145.2778 | -4.7222 |
| 19    | 2.80 | 29.6528 | 29.7250 | 29.6542 | -.0708 | -.0722 | 151.4307 | 1.4307  |
| 20    | 2.90 | 30.0672 | 30.0289 | 30.0681 | -.0392 | -.0383 | 147.6394 | -2.3606 |
| 21    | 3.00 | 30.4741 | 30.4934 | 30.4745 | -.0188 | .0192  | 149.5986 | -.4014  |
| 22    | 3.10 | 30.8740 | 30.8478 | 30.8739 | -.0261 | -.0262 | 148.1088 | -1.8912 |
| 23    | 3.20 | 31.2671 | 31.1834 | 31.2666 | -.0852 | -.0857 | 146.2254 | -3.7746 |
| 24    | 3.30 | 31.6539 | 31.6406 | 31.6529 | -.0123 | -.0133 | 148.5747 | -1.4253 |
| 25    | 3.40 | 32.0345 | 32.0541 | 32.0331 | .0211  | .0196  | 149.6324 | -.3676  |
| 26    | 3.50 | 32.4094 | 32.4554 | 32.4074 | .0479  | .0460  | 150.4634 | .4634   |
|       |      |         |         | MEANS   | -.0000 | .0047  | 148.9861 | -1.0139 |
|       |      |         |         | SIGMA   | .0543  | .0534  | 2.1314   | 2.1314  |

AUXILIARY QUANTITIES

CC= 15.09877    SX = 3.7648762E+01  
 SC= .04424    SX2= 5.6021318E+01  
 DO= 5.03119    SRX= 1.0352710E+03  
 SD= .06494    SR = 6.9926087E+02  
 SCD= -.96227    SR2= 1.9149501E+04

**TABLE III**  
**DEFINITIONS**

| Label        | Explanation  |
|--------------|--|
| NPTS         | Number of generated algorithm points   |
| WALG         | Algorithmic yield  |
| VS           | Sonic velocity of medium   |
| TS,RS        | Sonic time and radius  |
| TADD,RADD    | Time and radius increments added to algorithmic data                                       |
| TSTART,TSTOP | Time span of data  |
| NOISE SIGMA  | Standard deviation of random noise deviates  |
| NOISE MEAN   | Mean of deviations   |
| WFIT         | Least squares fitted value of yield W  |
| SIGW         | $\sigma_w$   |
| RSHIFT       | Least squares fitted value of $R_0$  |
| RSIGR0       | $\sigma_{R_0}$   |
| SIGR         | $\sigma_R$   |
| RATIO        | $\sigma_R$ /noise sigma  |
| CSR          | An "approximation" to $\sigma_R$   |
| FACT         | $CSR/\sigma_R$   |
| AFIT         | Least squares fitted value of a, assuming W fixed at value WALG                            |
| ASIG         | $\sigma_a$   |
| RALG + 5M    | Algorithmic data + 5:000 m   |
| RDATA        | Data analyzed = RALG + 5M + NOISE  |
| RFIT         | Resulting fit to data  |
| DELR         | Deviations, RDATA - RFIT   |
| NOISE        | Noise deviates added to algorithmic data   |
| WCALC        | Calculated yields for individual data points corresponding to fitted values of W and $R_0$ |
| W-WALG       | WCALC - WALG   |

Unlabeled quantities below columns labeled DELR, NOISE, WCALC, and W-WALG in Tables I and II are means and standard deviations of entries in the corresponding columns.

|     |               |                            |
|-----|---------------|----------------------------|
| CC  | c             |                            |
| SC  | $\sigma_c$    |                            |
| DD  | d             |                            |
| SD  | $\sigma_d$    |                            |
| SCD | $\sigma_{cd}$ |                            |
| SX  | B             | Multiplied by $\sigma_R^2$ |
| SX2 | C             |                            |
| SRX | D             |                            |
| SR  | E             |                            |
| SR2 | F             |                            |